01817

1995/02/24

95-02-24 13:36 033566-3282 FEB. 24 95 1:36PM

NEDS NYCEN FERGEN
USIS/PRESS OFFICE

01P/ 21 N2463 P. 1/21

BACKGROUND BULLETIN

米哲大使蛇山柏·文化空江吊箱运药 PRESS OFFICE UNITED STATES INFORMATION SERVICE
AMERICAN EMBASSY, TOKYO TEL. 3224-5284, 6265/6288 FAX. 3686 3282



外とまる

(EMBARGO UNTIL 3 A.M. FEBRUARY 28 JST)

27 ASB 5470

UNITED STATES SECURITY STRATEGY FOR THE EAST ASIA-PACIFIC REGION

(12)

February 1995

Department of Defense
Office of International Security Affairs

East Asia Strategy Report TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AMERICA'S PERMANENT INTEREST IN THE SECURITY OF THE ASIA-PACIFIC REGION

What Does Asia's New Economic Success Mean for American Interests?

WHAT ARE THE CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES FACING AMERICA IN ASIA?

Engagement: Modernizing and Strengthening Our Alliances and Friendships

Japan
The Republic of Korea
Australia

ASEAN Countries

New Zealand

Pacific Islands

The Desirability of Exploring New Multilateral Security Initiatives

Enlargement: Reaching Beyond our Traditional Allies and Friends

China

Russla

Vietnam

The Bonefits of Implementing the Agreed Framework with North Korea

The Importance of Addressing Long-standing Regional Issues

North Korea

Cambodia

Territorial Disputes

(EMBARGO TILL 3 A.M. FEBRUARY 28 IST) - 1

FER. 24 95

1:3604

JSTS/FKESS SEFFICE

Talwan

Combating the Spread and Use of Weapons of Mass Destruction and Missiles

UNITED STATES FORCE STRUCTURE IN ASIA FOR THE REST OF THE CENTURY

The Rationale for Continued United States
Forward Presence in Asia
Maintaining Our Strong Presence in Japan
Sustaining Deterrence in Korea
Recognizing the Value of Access in Southeast

LOOKING TO THE FUTURE

Introduction

Consider East Asia in 1975. The United States was withdrawing from Vietnam, and many observers predicted that widespread instability would follow a broader American withdrawal from the region. Compare these predictions with the stable and prosperous East Asia of 1995. The important reasons that the gloomy predictions proved wrong were American alliances in the region and the continued presence of substantial United States forces. Security is like oxygent you do not tend to notice it until you begin to lose it. The American security presence has helped provide this "oxygen" for East Asian development.

America's record over the past half century has been one of consistent strength and leadership. Our forward deployed and forward stationed forces in Asia ensured broad regional stability, helped to deter aggression against our allies, and contributed to the tremendous political and economic advances made by nations of the region. Concerns about American withdrawal heard today were voiced twenty years ago 23 well, in the years following the Vietnam War. For the security and prosperity of today to be maintained for the next twenty years, the United States must remain engaged in Asia, committed to strengthening alliances and friendships.

History, geography, and demography make the United States at integral part of the region. The states of Alasks, California, Oregon, and Washington border on the Pacific Ocean, and Hawall is surrounded by it. American citizens on three Pacific island territories -- Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas -- live closer to Asian capitals than to Washington. The increasing number of Americans who trace their ancestry to the Asia-Pacific -- numbering over seven million -- is yet another indication of America's connection to the nations of the Pacific Rim.

The United States has been the pre-eminent Pacific power since World War II, but our interests in the region data back more than two centuries. When the United States was only a few years old in 1784, a United States trading ship, the Empress of China, inaugurated commercial ties with China. One hundred and three years before the Battle of the Coral Sea. United States Navy ships first visited Australia. The United States negotiated Japan's opening to international trade in the 1850s, and modiated the end of the Russo-Japanese war in 1905. From these beginnings through the Second World War and the Cold War that followed, the United States has served as a key stabilizing factor in the region.

America has pledged its commitment to the security of the Asia-Pacific region and has spont its resources and blood fulfilling that pledge. The United States has som military forces to major wars against aggression in Asia during this century — World War II, the Korean War, and the Vietnam War, as well as a number of smaller conflicts. As these experiences have proven, America's interests in the region must be protected and America's commitments will be honored. They also provide a lesson: Asian tensions have the potential to erupt in conflict, with dire consequences for global recurity.

The interests at stake during these conflicts YV1 continue to compel American attention today.

Asia remains an area of uncertainty, tension, and immense concentrations of military power. Many of the largest armies in the world are in East Asia and the Pacific, including those of nuclear

(EMBARGO TILL J.A.M. FEBRUARY 18 IST) - 2

よかけりない

*100

HUS

SHIMBUN

1:372M FEB 24 95

USIS/PKESE UFFILE

wexpons states. Three major powers in Asia -the United States, Russia and China - are permanent members of the United Nations Security Council.

America clearly has a stake in maintaining the alliance structure in Asia as a foundation of regional stability and a means of promoting American influence on key Asian Issues. Asian friends and allies are critical to the success of our global strategy in many respects. Their cooperation is necessary to deter potential threats, counter regional aggression, ensure regional peace, monitor attempts at proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, and help protect sea lines of communication both within the region and from the region to the Indian Ocean and Persian Guif.

Asia today also has new significance. Its role is vital to the pursuit of a more open international economic system. United States trade with tho Asia-Pacific region in 1993 totaled over \$374 billion and accounted for 2.8 million United States Jobs. Given Japan's economic and political weight, it is a natural partner in our efforts to fashion a viable post-Cold War regional and international order. The region has also produced other economic successes - China, Talwan and Hong Kong, the Republic of Korea, Singapore, Malaysia, Indonesia, and Thailand -each of whom are key United States trade partners and will play an increasingly important role in the global economy.

The long history of close American cultural, economic, and security ties to the Asia-Pacific region reflect fundamental United States national interests that will only grow in coming years. The United States' role as a force for regional stabillty remains central and has not diminished.

Our forward deployed forces in Asia, based primarily in the Republic of Kores and Japan have ensured broad regional stability, helped to deter aggression against our allies, and contributed to the tremendous political and economic advances made by nations of the region. Today, this commitment continues through a stable forward-deployed force of about 100,000 United States personnel, backed by the full range of capability at the ready for the United States Pacific Command. Post-Cold War reductions in United States forces in the Asia-Pacific have essentially leveled off. Within this stable force level, capabilities will continue to improve as weaponry and equipment are upgraded.

A continuing United States security presence is viewed by almost every country in the region as a stabilizing force. Allies of the United States can base their defense planning on a reliable American security guarantee. But even beyond the nations with whom the United States has a treaty alliance, the stability brought about by United States military presence provides a sound 的有礼拜》 foundation for economic growth in the Asia-Pacific region, benefiting Asians and 基礎はらこ Amoricans allies.

In the post-Cold War era, the United States has begun to there responsibility as well as the benefits of global and regional accurity with its friends and ailles. It will commue to do so as these states grow stronger economically and develop global leadership roles. Japan and the Republic of Korea contribute to regional as well sa their own scourity when they provide generous: host-nation support for United States forces. Australia increasingly plays a global role in promoting international accurity. Australia, Singapore, and many other nations contribute to regional security by providing occess for United States military forces. Asian countries also contribute significantly to global peacekeeping and development aid.

The United States does not view this wider responsibility-sharing as a substitute for American leadership or for our overseas United States military presence. Active United States engagement is still essential for mobilizing ad hoc international coalitions on security and other tasues, as the United States did in the Gulf War and as it has done more recently in bringing together key countries in the region to persuads North Korea to shut down a program that could produce nuclear weapons.

701-1-7

Trip.

United States interests in the region are mutually-reinforcing: security is necessary for economic growth, security and growth make it more likely that human rights will be honored and democracy will emerge, and democratization makes international conflict less likely because democracles are unlikely to fight one another. President Clinton's reposted trips, summits, and meetings with the region's leaders indicate the Administration's recognition of the growing Schuit importance of Jose intertwined American interests in the Asla-Pacific region.

> The United States National Security Strategy published in July 1994 is based on enlarging the community of market democracies while deterring and containing a range of threats to our nation. our allies and our interests. Focusing on new threats and new opportunities, its central goals are: to enhance security by maintaining a strong defense capability and promoting cooperative security measures; to open foreign markets and spur global economic growth; and to promote democracy abroad.

In accordance with the National Security Strategy, this document explains United States defense policy toward furthering these goals in the Asia-Pacific region. It builds upon the Strategy's emphasis on maintaining a atrong defense capability to enhance United States security and to provide a foundation for regional stability through mutually beneficial security partnerships. As the Strategy states, East Asia is a region of growing importance to American goals: powhere are the strands of our three-part strategy more intertwined; nowhere is the need for continued engagement more evident. In thinking about the Asia-Pacific region, security comes first, and a committed United States military presence will continue to serve as a bedrock for America's socurity role in this dynamic area of the world.

The regional security strategy for the Asia-Pacific region emphasizes strengthening the blisteral alliances that have been at the heart of United States strategy for more than forty years. 'The United States is also committed to contribute to regional fecurity through active participation in new multilateral for alike the ASBAN Regional

Forum. Through such multilateral mechanisms the countries of the region seek to develop new cooperative approaches to achieve greater stability and security. Additionally, the Pacific Command sponsors multi-national military activities. The Clinton Administration is open and receptive to these approaches. From our perspective, they will complement, but not supplant. United States

Within this broad strategic context, the specific accurity objectives we will pursue include the following:

- work with allies and friends to refocus our ធាល security relations on the new post-Cold War challengos;
- -- Strengthen our blisteral partnership with Japan 日まを使し which serves at the basic mechanism through which we work together to promote regional and global security;
- -- maintain our strong defense commitment to and ties with the Republic of Koroa, in order to deter aggression and preserve peace on the Peninsula;
- work closely with our ally Australia to pursue the numerous security objectives our nations chare.
- (d) - engage China and support its constructive integration into the international community. including participation in global efforts to limit proliferation of wespons of mass dostruction and foster transparency in its defense policy and military activities:
- 沙湖野 -- fully implement the Agreed Framework on North Korea's nuclear program while standing ready to respond If North Korea does not meet its obligations or threatens United States ailles;
- work with Russia to develop mutually advantageous approaches that enhance regional stability;

bilateral ties in the region.

1900

群自

16

7-4-7

いイ

(CHDC)

4.夏

.....

-- contribute to maintaining peace in the Taiwan Strait:

.. work with ASEAN and others to explore new asEAN Regional Forum (ARF);

海田村田

२ अं(ए१ - encourage creation of a sub-regional security dialogue in Northeast Asia;

7007

-- support efforts by countries in the region to strengthen democracy,

July 1

- continue to seek the fullest possible accounting of those missing in action from the wars the United States has fought in the region in defense of others;

でるため生 destruction:

-- prevent the proliferation of weapons of mass

走道

-- work to halt the flow of narcotics.

朱的6.1.1.

AMERICA'S FERMANENT INTEREST IN THE SECURITY OF THE ASIA-PACIFIC REGION

Unlied States interests in Asia have been remarkably consistent over the past two centuries: peace and security; commercial access to the region; freedom of navigation; and the prevention of the rise of any hegemenic power or coalition. Recent trends, particularly the increasing coonomic importance of Asia and the political and security uncertainties in the region in the wake of the Cold War, have clarified United States' interests in the region. Recent events have also highlighted the importance of our military presence in Asia to United States operations around the globe.

America's vital national interests are clearly stated in the President's National Security Strategy:

- to preserve the survival of the United States as a free and independent nation, with its

fundamental values intact and its institutions and people secure. //

- to advance a healthy and growing United States sconomy to ensure opportunity is individual prosperity and a resource bare io. tional endeavors at home and abroad.
- to promote a stable and ascure world, where political and economic freedom, human rights, and democratic institutions flourish.
- to enhance a system of healthy, cooperative and politically vigorous relations with allies and friendly nations.

United States security objectives and foreign policy are geared toward furthering these munually reinforcing domestic and international Interests.

Since World War II, the United States has been the predominant power in the Asia-Pacific region. During the Cold War, our national security objectives centered on defending American territory as far forward as possible, global containment of the Soviet Union, and protecting friends and ailies. Our military strategy, dictated largely by the distances involved in transiting the Pacific Ocean, was to forward station forces to permanent bases, primarily in Japan, Korea and Southeast Asia. We complemented our presence through the development of a range of bilateral security arrangements. This approach continues to be appropriate because the leading states in the Asia-Pacific region have diverse threat perceptions and disparate cultures, histories, political systems, and levels of economic development.

The United States' network of diverse bilateral relationships in the 1990's includes mutual security ailiances, a variety of access arrangements, and informal periodic military-to-military exercises and exchanges. These bilateral relationships address numerous security concerns that are often unique to individual nations in the region. Taken as a whole, however, they have formed a strong regional network promoting peace and security. 622

The United States has six security commitments in the Asia-Pacific region, including security treaties with Japan (September 8, 1951), the Republic of Korea (October 1, 1953), Australia (September 1, 1951), the Republic of the Philippines (August 30, 1951), and Thailand (September 8, 1954); and the Compact of Pree Association with the Republic of the Marshall Islands, the Federated States of Micronesia, and the Republic of Palso (signed November 4, 1986). These bilateral commitments remain inviolable, and the end of the Cold War has not diminished their importance. Moreover, United States interest in developing layers of multilateral ties in the region will not undermine the significance of core bilateral ties

= ha zu /

たアなるなり

What Does Asia's New Economic Success Mean for American Interests?

The Asia-Pacific region is corrently the most aconomically dynamic region in the world, and on that basis alone its security would be critical to America's future. The prosperity of Asia is, in part, a result of successful American policies that have underwritten Asian security and have underpinned Asia's economic development. The Pacific Rim today is collectively the United States' largest trading partner. We expect Asia and the Pacific (excluding the United States) to account for about one-third of the world's economic activity at the start of the next century. Asia's prosperous stability is in turn vital to America's economic health and to the world's security.

PI)TIM ES

Asia's recent growth rates have outpaced those of the rest of the world. Indonesia, Korsa, Malaysia, Singapore, Thalland, and Talwan have grown on average over 7 percent for the last five years. China's aconomy has grown over nine percent per year for the last decade. The People's Republic of China's southern and coastal areas are enjoying a market-oriented manufacturing boom and are increasingly integrand with other economies, particularly Hong Kong, and Taiwan. The Republic of Korea, one of Asia's greatest success stories, has moved from a subsistence economy to advanced manufacturing in a single generation. If high savings rates, strong emphasis on education,

pragmatic market-based oconomic policies and, with few exceptions, relatively stable politics continue to characterize Asia's economics, economic growth is likely to be sustained. Asia's growth has given rise to a middle class and a large new consumer population. Ambitious development plans are creating a huge demand for infrastructure. The People's Republic of China, Taiwan, and Hong Kong, for example, plan to spend over \$500 billion on infrastructure improvements by the year 2000. All of these trends make it certain that Asia will be an increasingly important market for the United States.

The United States economy will be attempthened through trade and investment opportunities offered by the dynamic Asian economies. The American economy is increasingly dependent on trade: as a share of Gross Domestic Product, merchandise exports have doubled in the last two decades from 5.5 % to 11.6 %. Much of the growth of this sector is attributable to Asia. During the 1970s and 1980s, United States exports to the Asia-Pacific region grew twice as fast as exports to the European Community. American two-way trade with Asia today accounts for more than 36% of total American world trade. On a per capita basis, people in Asian countries import more American goods than do people in European countries.// United States exports to the Asia-Pacific region are growing toward a third of worldwide United States merchandise exports.

Asia's international financial role has naturally grown as well; some 40 percent of global bank reserves are now in seven leading East Asian economics, compared with only 17 percent in 1980. Japan, the People's Republic of China, Taiwan, Hong Kong and Singapore together have foreign exchange reserves totaling 270 billion dollars. Our reliance on these and other sources of foreign capital further underscores Asia's growing importance to the United States.

Much of Asia's economic growth has a direct relationship to its security environment. As an example, Asia's demand for oil from outside the region makes the security of access routes imperative. The Asia and Pacific region's

(EMBARGO TILL 3 A.M. FEBRUARY 28 JST) - 6

(excluding the United States) oil demand of 14.5 million barrels per day in 1992 was larger than that of Europe, making it the second largest off consuming region after North America. The Persian Gulf now supplies 70% of the region's total oil imports; by the turn of the century, over 90% of imports from outside the Asia-Pacific region are expected to come from the Persian Gulf United States and Asian Interests are clearly served by the maintenance of the sea lines of communication that support worldwide trade in oil and other goods. Growing regional dependence on oil from the Middle East highlights the importance of America's ability to move forces through the sea lines to support contingencles in the Middle East.

In this context, United States military presence in the region supports many of our broad objectives and those of our allica. It guarantees the security of sea lanes vital to the flow of Middle East oil, serves to deter armed conflict in the region, and promotes regional cooperation. It also denies political or economic control of the Asia-Pacific region by a rival, hostile power or coalition of powers, preventing any such group from having command over the vast resources, enormous wealth, and advanced technology of the Asia-Pacific region. The United States presence also allows developing countries to allocate resources to economic growth and expands markets for United States exports. By helping to preserve peace, expenditures on our continuing defense presence deter conflicts whose costs

In short, the stability and prosperity of the Atla-Paciflo region is a matter of vital national interest affecting the well-being of all Americans. Our economic prospects, the promotion of democratic values and human rights, and our traditional security interests all require sustained engagement by the United States in this important region. Maintaining a credible security presence In Asia is vital to the post-Cold War international system now taking shape.

would be far greater.

WHAT ARE THE CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES FACING AMERICA IN ASIA?

Asia is characterized by diversity - ethnic. religious, cultural, linguistic and geographic.

Historical animorities romain strong; a sense of cohesion has been lacking. From the first Sino-Japanese war through the Sino-Soviet confrontation, and conflicts in Korea, Vietnam, and Cambodia, there has been a pattern of recurrent confrontation and conflict among the major powers in Asia. While we no longer face a hegemonic Soviet threat in Asia and the Pacific, we still confront a challenging military threat on the Korean peninsula, as well as a complex array of re-emergent tensions.

Many of these challenges derive from the coming transitions in key East Asian states - the outcome of which will determine to a large extent the nature of the future East Asian security environment. The social, economic and political transition now occurring in Asia is encouraging but uncertain. Leadership transitions could have a major impact on security and stability of the region. In addition, threats of proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, emerging nationalism amidst long-standing ethnic and national rivairies, and unresolved territorial disputes add to a political landscape of potential instability and conflict. We cannot ignore Asia's long-standing antagonisms; nearly all countries of the region carry memorics of distrust and suspicion resulting from historio conflicts.

If the United States does not provide the central ふりかり visible, stabilizing force in the Asia and Pacific region, it is quite possible that another nation いいかもつ might -- but not necessarily in a way that meets America's fundamental interests and those of our friends and ailles. Insecure nations will build uptheir armaments. Arms races could in turn foster four and instability.

If the American presence in Aria were removed. the security of Asia would be imperiled, with consequences for Asia and America alike. Our ability to affect the course of events would be constrained, our markets and our interests would be jeopardized. To benefit from the growth and prosperity of the Asia-Pacific region, the United States must remain fully engaged economically. diplomatically, and militarily.

Our engagement in the region must also take into account changes in the international environment,

0.284

かくい

15 AVG

domestic political and economic realities, and the ability of our allies and friends to share responsibility in shaping a new era and maintaining regional accurity and prosperity. Our strategy is designed to reflect all those elements.

Careful handling and appropriate policies will determine whether challenges facing Asia create turmoil, instability or conflict on the one hand, or become opportunities to promote stability and ensure peace on the other. The following tour d' horizon describes key sub-regional challenges and opportunities for United States security policy in Asla today:

Engagement: Modernizing and Strengthening Our Alliances and Friendships

There is no more important bilateral relationship than the one we have with Japan. It is fundamental to both our Pacific security policy and our global strategic objectives. Our security alliance with Japan is the linchpin of United States security puncy in Asia. It is seen not just by the United Smtos and Japan, but throughout the region, as a major factor for securing stability in Asia. The President has made clear that our overall relationship with Japan is composed of three pillars 9 our security alliance, political cooperation, and economics and trade. We must not allow trade friction to undermine our security alliance, but if public support for the relationship is to be maintained over the long term, progress must continue to be made by both sides in addressing fund memal economic issues.

Japan's new global role involves greater Japanese contribution to regional and global stability. Japan is the world's largest Official Development Assistance provider and has increased its involvement in humanitarian and peacekeeping efforts around the globe, including in Mozambique and Zaire. Japan supports emerging demogracies, particularly in Asia. Japan's continuing close cooperation with the United States in a strategic partnership, including Line senerous host faction support arrangements, is conductive to regional peace and stability and supports broad mutual global objectives.

The Republic of Kores

经问

Harate Commission

Our security relationship with the Republic of Korea continues to be central to the stability of the Korean Peninsula and Northeast Atla, as it has been for over forry years. The Republic of Koros-United States combined defense structure tests on three strong pillars: the 1953 Mutual Defense Treaty, Combined Forces, and the annual Security Consultative process. The United States also continues to support South-North talks on tension reduction efforts. Until North and South Kores find a peaceful solution to their differences, we remain committed to the terms of the forty-five year old Armistics Agreement. The Armistice Agreement and its mechanisms must remain undi an appropriate agreement supersedes them. Only South and North Korea can resolve the division of Korea, and therefore replacement of the Armistice by an appropriate agreement can come about only through direct dislugue between South and North Kores.

The rolationship between the United States and the Republic of Korea is more than a treaty commitment, it is a vital component in our national objective of supporting and promoting democracy. Even after the North Korean threat passes, the United States intends to maintain its strong defense alliance with the Republic of Kores, in the interest of regional security. Australia

部分の えっち 朝鲜节 上北将

The United States and Australia have enjoyed a long tradition of close political-military consultation, cooperation and warm friendship, beginning even before the 1951 ANZUS Treaty. The enduring strangth and vitality of the relationship has been sustained through periods of changing international strategic circumstances. demonstrating the depth of our shared interests. In its Defence White Paper published in December 1994, Australia detailed a forward-looking policy for the next fifteen years focusing on the development of a versaile defense force capable of defending Australia. sustaining alliance commitments to the United States and intensitying contributions to regional and global security. //

日本

- 404

The United States-Australia alliance makes a major contribution to regional stability and facilitates United States military activities and deployments in the region, through providing access to Australian ports, airfloids and training facilities, through bilateral and multilateral exercises, and through vigorous programs for intelligence and scientific cooperation. Australia hosts and operates with the United States several joint facilities that make key contributions to United States, regional and global security. Australia shares many key Amarican foreign policy goals, is a major contributor to international peacekeeping and nonproliferation efforts, and is a strong partner in international fors such as the ASEAN Regional Forum, Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC), General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) and the United Nations. These many practical contributions make Australia an invaluable strategic partner; accordingly we will continue to nourish the relationship as we approach the next century.

ASEAN Countries

The emergence of the Association of Southeast Aslan Nations (ASEAN) as an increasingly influential regional actor has been an important positive development. Its members are Brunei. Indonesia, Malaysia, the Republic of the Philippines, Singapore and Thailand. The United States shares an interest with these ASEAN countries in precluding Southeast Asia from becoming an area of strategic competition among regional powers. Two members of ASEAN, the Republic of the Philippines and Thailand, are treaty allies of the United States, with commensurate security obligations; the other members are long-time friends. We are seeking to broaden our network of access and prepositioning arrangements throughout Southeast Asia to facilitate bilateral training, exercises, and interoperability, thereby enhancing our ability to work with ailles and friends in crises

New Zealand

New Zealand has traditionally adhered to a defense strategy based on collective security through participation in the ANZUS Security Treaty of 1951. However, since 1984, New Zealand has pursued policies, later enacted as

legislation, which effectively prohibit ship visits under our policy of neither confirming nor denying the presence of nuclear weapons aboard specific ships or aircraft or by nuclear-propelled ships. Thus the United States suspended accurity obligations to New Zealand under the provisions of the ANZUS Alliance in August 1986.

Since 1994, we have upgraded our political and military contacts. It is our hope that in the future New Zealand will take the action necessary to restore its place in the ANZUS alliance. We are also interested in helping New Zealand maintain its existing military equipment and expability to play a role in regional security. New Zealand's contributions to peacekeeping and humanitariam missions around the world are admirable. It has participated in the UN multinational force during the Persian Gulf war and in UN peacekeeping efforts in Cambodia, the former Yugoslavia, Somalia, and Angola, as well as providing police in Haid.

Pacific Islands

Many nations of the South Pacific Forum aft astride shipping lanes between the United States and our major trading partners in Southeast Asia, Australia and New Zealand. We have economic interests in the region, including access to some of the world's richest fishing grounds. In their first decades of independence, these countries have played an important role in regional and international peacekeeping efforts and have been a persuasive and effective voice in international fors. The United States has specific legal responsibility for the defense of the atracegleally important United States territories of Guam and American Samos, the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianes and, under the Compact of Free Association, for the Republic of the Marshall Islands, the Republic of Palsu and the Pederated States of Micronesia.

The Desirability of Exploring New Multilateral Security Initiatives

A significant new element of this Administration's Asian security policy has been constructive participation in and support for regional security dialogues. As President Clinton said in Korsa, "Some in the United States have been reluctant to enter into regional security dialogues in Asia, but I acc this as a way to supplement our alliances and forward military presence, not to supplant them. These dialogues can ensure that the end of the Cold War does not provide an opening for regional rivalries, chaos and arms races. Our participation in these dialogues is an important element of our security engagement in the region.

The interest in new multilateral approaches to regional securky arose from the uncertainties created by the end of the Cold War and concerns aroused by the United States' departure from its bases in the Philippines. At the same time, the increasing economic integration and interdependence of the Asia-Pacific region has given nations a shared interest in preserving the peace that underplus their prosperity. Because relations among the major powers in Asia are more constructive than at any time in the past century, the post Cold War period provides an Complete and unique upportunity to shape a positive and cooperative security environment in the Asia-Pacific region.

もつくりはして

1 EU. 1 4 30

Working with ASEAN, the United States has supported the establishment of a new security forum for the region. In 1993, ASBAN proposed and others agreed to create the ASEAN Regional Forum (ARF) as Asla's first broadly based consultative body concerned with security issues. In contrast to Cold War collective defense against a common enemy, the ARF was conceived as an inclusive group not directed against any country. The ARF, which met for the first time in July 1994, includes the ASEAN countries, the United States, Australia, Canada, China, European Union, Japan, the Republic of Korea, Laos, New Zealand, Papua New Guinea, Russia, and Vietnam. Its initial purposo is to provide a forum for consultation on security issues in the Asia-Pacific region.

The United States believes the ARF can play a useful role in conveying governments' intentions, easing tensions, constraining arms races and cultivating habits of consultation and cooperation on security issues. We envision that the ARF will develop over time into an effective

region-wide forum for enhancing preventive diplomacy and developing confidence-building measures. We believe that discussion of modest defense transparency measures would be a constructive area for future work. Discussions might include such measures as limited exchanges of defense data, the publication of defense white papers, and submission of information to the UN arms register. Efforts in areas such as disaster relief and peacekeeping could also help establish patterns of cooperation. Furthermore, the ARP presents an opportunity for a non-confrontational discussion of the relevance of democratization for regional security.

Historically, Northeast Asia is the area where great power interests have clashed most sharply. Consequently, the United States believes that the unique long term security challenges in Northeast Asia argue strongly for the creation of a apparate sub-regional security dialogue for Northeast Asia Such a dialogue would be developed in close consultation with our allies, Japan, and the Republic of Koros. To lay the groundwork for establishing such a forum, the United States has participated in a socies of mixed government/academic conferences on Northeast Asian security issues with Japan, the Republic of Korea, China and Russia/North Korea has been invited but, has participated only in a preparatory session. The pattern of consultations among key countries for many months, which led to the October 1994 Agreed Framework between the United States and North Korea, may help crease 22 50 13 conditions for establishing a Northeast Asia 约和勃 security dialogue... 人名华英 2491W

These and other multilateral consultations on security issues are elements in the "overlapping places of armor" for regional security described in the President's July 1993 speech in Scoul. Our other multilateral consultations include ad hoc coordination on the North Korean nuclear issue; policy planning talks with Japan, the Republic of Korea and other allies; and participation in mixed government/academic United States-Japan-Russia trilateral meetings.

Enlargement: Reaching Beyond our Traditional Allles and Friends

China

12

The rapid growth in China's material strongth has raised the importance of China in the Asian security equation. China is a nuclear weapons state, a leading regional military power and a global power with a permanent seat on the UN Security Council. Although it still has a low GNP per capita compared to other leading economic powers, it has one of the largest and fastest-growing economies in the world. It is thus extendal for peace, stability, and economic growth in the Asia-Pacific region that China is stable and continues to develop friendly relations with its neighbors. The Chinese leadership has asserted that international peace and stability are prerequisites for China's achieving its economic modernization goals./In the early 1990s, China has normalized relations with Indonesia, Singapore, Vieunam, and the Republic of Korea, hosted the first-ever visit by Japan's emperor, and agreed to participate actively in multileteral organizations like APEC and the ASEAN Regional Forum (ARF).

> China's published defense budget figure has doubled in the past five years, with real growth -adjusted for Inflation - estimated at about 40 percent. This tlaure probably does not encompass all of China's defense expenditures. By comparison, American, Japanese and Russian defense sponding has either remained level or decreased in the same period. China is investing in modern fighter aircraft, including Russian SU-27a, as well as other new-generation military capabilities. It has expanded its blue-water maval capabilities, and there is persistent speculation that it intends to acquire an aircraft carrier. Much of the Chinese defense budger increase represents growth from a low base, plus China's effort to replace obsolete equipment, adjust doctrine to the new global security environment, and improve the professionalism of its armed forces of 3.2 million. China also continues to conduct underground nuclear tests, as part of its overall strategic weapons medernization program, but has indicated interest in the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty that may be signed in 1996.

China's military posture and development have a great impact on the expectations and behavior of other states in the region. Although China's leaders insist their military build-up is defensive and commonsurate with China's overall economic growth, others in the region cannot be certain of China's intemions, particularly in this period of leadership transition. China's military modernization effort is in an early stage, and its long-term goals are unclear. Moreover, it has territorial disputes with several neighboring states. Absent a better understanding of China's plans, capabilities and intentions, other Aslan nations may fool a need to respond to China's growing military power. This will be particularly true as China modernizes its strategic forces, naval assets and other forces capable of power projection/ The United States and Chine's neighbors would welcome greater transparency in China's defense programs, strategy and doctrine.

The United States, for its part, is enhancing its 495000 military dialogue with China in order to promote ast 13 better mutual undorstanding, as well as greater transparency and trust. This dialogue is maintained through periodic high level visits, participation in professional fora, and functional exchanges. Through the newly established Defense Conversion Commission, we hope to facilitate cooperation between Chinese defense enterprises and American businesses in civilian production. //

Russin

Russia is an Asia-Pacific regional power and an adverse thist in Moscow's policies would have an impact on Asia's security. On April 4, 1993, at the Vancouver meeting between President Clinton and President Yeltsin, the two presidents "declared their firm commitment to a dynamic and effective United States-Russian partnership that strengthens international stability." This commitment has great relevance for the Asia-Pacific region. Russia has contributed to international efforts toward peace, notably in connection with Cambodia and North Korea. Similarly, Russia has worked together with China to de-milliprize their long contiguous border. Russian officials and scholars participate constructively in the various official and semi-official fora to promoto regional stability and security. Russia has a significant role to play

(EMBARGO TILL 3 A.M. FEBRUARY 28 IST) • 11

in proventing the emergence of future security problems in Asia and the Pacific. Victnam

On February 3, 1994, President Clinton announced the decision to lift the provisions of the Trading With The Enemy Act that prohibited Americans from doing business in Vietnam, and to expand the official United States presence in Vietnam to the level of a liaison office. A year later, the United States and Vietnam opened liaison offices in Hanoi and Washington. President Clinton has stated that "the best way to ensure cooperation from Vietnam and to pontinuo zetting the information Americans want on POWs and MIAs is to end the trade embargo." Our major policy interest in Vietnam continues to be accounting for United States Service personnel missing in action from the war in Vietnam. Our interest is undiminished in pressing forward on joint field investigations, live-sighting investigations, trilateral work on the Lao Border Cases, the repatriation of remains, and archival research that can shed light on the fates of missing Americans and the disposition of their remains.

At the same time, we remain interested in the protection of human rights in Vietnam, which exercises tight control over "dissidents," and enforces strict rules governing public protest. speech and publication. We also have an interest in addressing narcotics issues with Vietnam.

Vietnam plays an increasingly important role in the region. The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) believes that economic ties will integrate Vietnam, Laos, and Cambodia into the Southean Asian community of nations. In this coming year. Victnam may well become a full member of ASEAN. Since 1990, Vietnam has pursued an "open door" foreign policy almed at increasing access to markets, opening opportunities for International development assistance, and anracting foreign investment.

The Benefits of Implementing the Agreed Framework with North Korea

ハキフィット

Since 1993, the Unlted States has worked intensively with the Republic of Korea and Japan to secure North Korea's commitment to halt, and ultimately dismantle, its nuclear program. We have sought to preserve regional peace and stability while also ensuring that the Korean Peninsula remains verifiably free of nuclear weapons. The October 21, 1994 Agreed Pramework with the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (DPRK) takes a major step toward achieving those vital goals. At the same time, the agreement secures North Korea's pledge to engage in dialogue with the South. The Agreed Framework also offers the first opportunity since the end of the Korean War for a significant opening of North Korea's society to positive influences from outside. The Agreed Framework calls for an immediate halt to operations of all elements of the North Korean graphite-moderated nuclear reactor program under a monitored freeze, and begins to bring the DPRK into compliance with its fullscope safeguards obligations. The DPRK is committed, over time, to dismande its three nuclear reactor complexes, its reprocessing plant, and other related facilities as well as forego any spent fuel reprocessing. These commitments go well beyond the requirements of the Non-Proliferation Tronty (NPT). In return, the DPRK will receive two light-water reactors (LWRs) that generate electricity with far less risk of plutonium diversion. Pinancing for these reactors will be provided by the Korean Energy Development Organization (KEDO), an international consortium. In addition, the DPRK will be supplied heavy fuel oil to replace the electrical power that would have been generated over the next several years by the graphite moderated reactors. The new LWR reactors and alternate energy will be provided only if North Korea sustains the freeze and proceeds with the dismanticment timetable laid out in the Agreed Framework.

Key United States objectives are achieved in the Agreed Framework. Pirst, it represents a significant step toward sustaining peace and stability in Northeast Asia by addressing the most prominent security concern of all countries in the region. Second, it ensures that the DPRK will not acquire, through reprocessing, the 25 to 30 kilograms of plutonium now contained in spent

布和格板

fuel rods. North Korea will not be able to exploit the much larger plutonium-producing potential of reactors that it would have brought on-line over the next two years, because of the agreed freeze. Third, it assures that the DPRK's freeze in nuclear activity is verified by the IAEA and will bring the DPRK into full compliance with the Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT), by resolving the question of its past nuclear activities. Pourth, the Agreed Framework will result in dismantling nuclear facilities that lend themselves to destabilizing proliferation activity. This latter espect marks the agreement as going well beyond the requirements of the NPT in ensuring that the DPRK does not develop nuclear WCEDONS.

The Agreed Pramework is only the beginning of a long path to complete resolution of the michar issue with North Korea. The United States will insist on complete implementation at every stage. The agreement does not preclude recourse to any unilateral or multilateral measures should the DPRK renege on the terms of the agreement. The terms were crafted in a way that does not depend on trust of North Korea's intentions, but on its observable actions. If North Korea breaks the agreement, it knows the consequences will be severe.

It is important to note that stability will remain a concern for the United States even after the agreement is fully implemented, and North Korea reaches broad accommodation with the South on a wide range of differences. North Korea's history of isolation from the rest of Asia has been a source of instability. When that doctrine is discarded, North Korea will find opportunities for mutually beneficial relationships throughout Asia. American interests, however, will continue to focus on security on the Peninsula and throughout the region as a whole. United States forces will see forces will as long as they are welcome.

The Importance of Addressing Long-standing Regional Issues
North Kores

North Korea remains a source of unpredictability and potential danger for the region. Its excessive emphasis on military development at the expense of basic economic, political, and social development poses a threat to its neighbors. Even with a badly deteriorating economy and years of poor harvests, North Korea has given priority to its military structure / North Korea continues to expend its national resources to:

-- mechanize its huge, offensively postured ground forces;

-- expand its aircady massive artillery formations

-- enhance the world's largest special operation force;

-- improve its large ballistic missile arsenal.

North Korea's history of aggression, threats to peace, and exports of missile technology have created a context in which its development of nuclear weapons would be an extremely dangerous threat to security on the Peninsula, in Asia and for global non-proliferation. At the same time, North Korea's conventional military threat to the Republic of Korea has not abased, and requires continued vigilance and commitment of United States forces.

Cambodia

Cambodia is emerging from two decades of war and chaos that followed the Khmer Rouge seizure of power in 1975. Cambodiana have demonstrated their commitment to peace and democracy in the face of extraordinary odds and seemingly insurmountable obstacles. They have elected a government, written a constitution, and embarked on the tremendous task of rebuilding the country. Since the formation of its new government, Cambodia has made significant progress toward developing governing institutions, advancing respect for human rights, and establishing a market-oriented economy.

Despite these successes. Cambodia still faces serious reconstruction, development, and security

月後の、かららく

challenges, including a continuing Khmer Rouge insurgency, as well as structural weaknesses in its fledgling democratic institutions. The continued support of the international community, including the United States, is essential for the growth of this emerging democracy. The United States participated in the process aimed at ending the long and tragic conflict in Cambodia and supported the United Nations peacekeeping effort. We intend to provide reconstruction and rehabilitation assistance, and non-lethal humanitarian assistance for the Cambodian military. This will include international Military Education and Training aimed at developing a professional military supportive of fundamental democratic institutions.

7.771-12 Territorial Disputes

Contested claims to islands and territorial waters in the South China Sea are a source of tension in Southeast Asia that could carry serious consequences for regional stability. There are six claimanth to parts of the Spratly Islands - the People's Ropublic of China, Taiwan, Viotnam, the Philippines, Malaysia and Brunei. All but Brunei maintain a military presence in the contested area, which is believed to be rich in oil deposits. The United States has urged peaceful settlement of South China Sea issues, and strongly opposes the threat or use of milliary force to assert any nation's claim. The United States takes no position on the legal merits of the competing claims and is willing to assist in the peaceful resolution of the dispute.

Southeast Atian initiatives in recent years have led to encouraging developments on this seemingly intractable disputs and have illustrated the value of ad hoc multilaneral approaches to regional security. Indonesia has sponsored a series of unofficial workshops on managing petential conflict in the South China Sea. Participants include private experts, academics, and government officials attending in a non-official capacity from the claimants, as well as observers from several non-claimants. They have agreed that the key to continued progress toward long-term resolution of the Spratlys dispute is to avoid reaching too quickly for a resolution to the competing claims of sovereignty. In addition, some claiments, including China and

Vietnam, have been pursuing important bilateral discussions almod at peacefully addressing issues in the Spratlys.

It is worth noting in this context that the United States regards the high seas as an international commons. Our strategic interest in maintaining the lines of communication linking Southeast Asia, Northeast Asia and the Indian Ocean make it assential that we resist any maritime claims beyond those permitted by the Law of the Sea Convention. -

Continued Russian occupation of Japan's Northern Territories is another source of tension in East Asia and stands in the way of a Russo-Japanese peace treaty. The United States recognizes the legitlmacy of Japan's claim to the Northern Territories. Progress in Japan-Russia negotiations on Northern territories issues would enhance peace and stability in Northeast/Asia generally and accelerate Russia's long term integration in the Asia-Pacific region.

Telwan)

台湾

Peace in the Taiwan Straft has been the long-standing goal of our policy toward Taiwan. United States arms sales to Talwan are designed to serve this end. We wolcome the growing dialogue between Taipci and Beijing and applaud actions on both sides which increase the possibility of a peaceful resolution of the situation in the Talwan Strait.

Combating the Spread and Use of Weapons of Mass Destruction and Missiles

Weapons of mass destruction -- nuclear, biological, and chemical - along with their delivery systems, pose a major threat to our security and that of our allies and other friendly nations. Our strategy seeks to stem the proliferation of such weapons and to develop an effective capability to deal with these threats. Regional Theater Missile Defense Systems have a key role to play in this strategy and are essential to counter long range ballistic missile delivery systems in the inventory of many East Aslan nations. We also need to maintain robust

16

strategic nuclear forces while seeking to implement existing strategic arms agreements. Accordingly, the United States is reconfirming the nuclear umbrells it extends to our ailles in the region, while pursuing bilateral and multilateral talks to cap, then reduce weapons of mass destruction.

Levels of cooperation with our nonproliferation efforts by Asian countries will be among our most important criteria in judging the nature of our bliamral relations. As a key part of our effort to control nuclear proliferation, we seek the indefinite extension of the Nonproliferation Treaty (NPT), and its universal application. Achieving a Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty as soon as possible, ending the production of fissile materials for nuclear weapons purposes, and strengthening the Nuclear Suppliers Group and the International Atomic Energy Agency (IABA) are also important goals. They complement our comprehensive efforts to discourage the accumulation of fissile materials, to seek to strengthen controls and constraints on those materials and, over time, to reduce world-wide stocks.

To combat missile proliferation the United States urges all countries to adhere to the Missile Technology Control Regime (MTCR) guidelines and seeks prudently to broaden membership of the MTCR. The United States supports the prompt ratification and earliest possible entry-in-force of the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC) as well as new measures to increase transparency of, and enhance compliance with, the Biological Weapons Convention (BWC). We also support limproved export controls for nonproliferation purposes both domestically and multilaterally. The proliferation problem is global, but we must tailor our approaches to specific regional contexts. We are leading international efforts to bring North Korea into compliance with its nonprollferation obligations, including the NPT, IAEA safeguards, and the North-South denuclearization accord. We also seek vigorously to curb North Korean exports of missiles.

The United States and the People's Republic of China signed a joint statement on October 4,

1994, that reaffirmed China's original commitment to the MTCR including the concept of "inherent capability." China also agreed to ban all exports of ground-to-ground MTCR-Class missiles. This commitment goes beyond MTCR requirements in that the MTCR subjects such exports to a "strong prosumption of denial" rather than a complete ban. Both sides agreed to hold future in-depth discussions on the MTCR, the United States Government is working toward eventual Chinese membership in the MTCR. China's commitment permitted the United States to lift the sanctions imposed on Chinese entities in 1993.

The United States seeks to prevent countries from acquiring chemical, biological and nuclear weapons and the means to deliver them. However, United States forces and those of our allies in the region must also be prepared to deter, provent and defend against the uso of such weapons. The United States will retain the capacity to respond to those who might contemplate the use of weapons of mass destruction, so that the costs of such use will be seen as outweighing the gains. However, to minimize the impact of proliferation of weapons of mass destruction on our interests, we will need the expability not only to deter their use against either ourselves or our allies and friends, but also, where necessary and feasible, to prevent and defend against it. The fielding of Theater Missile Defense (TMD) systems in the region will be a key element of this non-proliferation strategy.

Finally, it is the maintenance of United States security commitments, notably to Japan, and America's force levels in the region, which bolster the sense of security and help forestall possible attempts to build a nuclear weapons capability.

UNITED STATES' FORCE STRUCTURE IN ASIA FOR THE REST OF THE CENTURY

The Rationale for Continued United States Forward Presence in Asia

前方底向教路

United States military forward presence in the Asia-Pacific region is an essential element of regional security and America's global military posture//Forward deployed forces in the Pacific ensure à rapid and flexible worldwide erisis response capability; discourage the emergence of a regional hegemon; enhance our ability to influence a wide spectrum of important issues in the region: /enable significant economy of force by reducing the number of United States forces required to meet national security objectives; overcome the handicaps of time and distance presented by the vast Pacific Ocean; and demonstrate to our friends, allies and potential onemies alike a tangible indication of the United States' interest in the ascurity of the entire region.

Nothing conveys the same clear message of our security commitment as much as our visible. United States military presence, proving we are engaged and consulting closely with our allies and friends, vigilant to protect our shared interests. The United States is trusted in Asia, partly because we send our sons and daughters to stand as guarantors of peace and security in Asia. The United States has the capability, cradibility, and even-handedness to play the "honest broker" among nervous neighbors, historical enemies, and potential antagonists.

After the Cold War, American ground forces forward deployed in Asia were adjusted carefully to retain the capability required to keep the peace in Asia and the Pacific. Our forces in the region were reduced from approximately 135,000 in 1990 to approximately 100,000 in 1994. Adjustments will be made from time to time due to changing security environments, technological advancements, and reorganizations required by changes in overall force structure. Our presence in Asia, however, will remain strong enough to address regional requirements and to enable us to respond to global security contingencies, in the Middle East and elsewhere.

During Operations DESERT SHIELD and DESERT STORM, for example, our force structure in Asia successfully provided deterrence to regional threats in Asia, thus allowing forces in Hawaii, California, and elsewhere to deploy to

the Middle East. Our bases also facilitated the coalition's response to the Iraqi invasion of Kuwait. Asian nations provided access to ports, airfields, and maintenance facilities for personnel, ships and aircraft transiting the region enroute to the Middle East. Australia and other allies contributed troops and resources to the coalition effort. The United States alliance with Japan was instrumental in Japan's commitment of minesweepers and billions of dollars to offset the expenses of coalition forces. Korea's support of sealift, in-kind support, and expenses offsets was also very important.

Having United States forces in Asia also promotes democratic development in Asia, by providing a clear, readily observable example of the American military's apolitical role. Our overseas presence helps us forge strong bonds with regional military leaders. Through joint exercises and training programs, they gain exposure to American standards of military professionalism, and we gain insight into, and personal ties with, their societies.

RIFE

11/4

*Fa

Because of a program of cost sharing with our allics, it is actually less expensive to the American taxpayer to maintain our forces forward deployed than in the United States. Cost sharing is exactly that Japan and the Republic of Korea have indicated they will continue to help defray the costs of maintaining American forces in their countries.

The Clinton Administration's Bottom Up Review, the study initiated by Secretary Aspin "to reassess, all of our defense concepts, plans, and programs from the ground up," reflected our continuing commitment to Asia. The Review emphasized sustaining robust United States forces overseas; modernization initiatives that include improvements in United States sirilift, sealift, and prepositioned assets to improve crisis response; high leverage improvements in the United States' ability to locate and destroy enamy military sasets; and more capable battlefield surveillance platforms and advanced munitions that make the early arriving forces more potent.

(EMBARGO TILL 3 A.M. FEBRUARY 2R IST) - 16

To support our commitments in East Asia, we will maintain a force structure that requires approximately 100,000 personnel. In Korea, this includes an Army division (consisting of two brigades as well as headquarters and support elements) and a United States Air force combat wing. We are also prepositioning military equipment in South Korea to increase our ability to respond to crises. In light of the continuing conventional capability of North Korea, we have permanently halted a previously planned modest drawdown of our troops from South Kores, and are modernizing the American forces there as well as assisting the Republic of Koron in modernizing its forces. We will continue to provide sufficient forces and support assets to constitute a reliable defense capability in Korea that can deter or halt and defeat a North Korean invasion even if our forces are engaged in a major regional contingency elsewhere in the world Maintaining our Strong Presence in Japan

United States security policy in Asia and the Pacific relies on access to Japanese bases and Japanese support for United States operations.

United States forces in Japan are committed to and prepared for not only the defense of Japan and other nearby United States interests, but to the preservation of peace and security in the entire Far East region. [United States bases in Japan are wall-located for rapid deployment to virtually any trouble spot in the region. Given the great distances associated with the Pacific theater, assured access to bases in Japan plays a critical role in our ability to deter and defeat

A LINE (MOTO)

In Japan, we will continue to station a Marine Expeditionary Force on Okinswa, and will also continue to forward deploy an aircraft carrier hardle group, and an amphibious roady group. We will also retain more than one wing of Air Force combat aircraft in Japan, and the Navy's Seventh Fleet will continue routine patrols of the Western Pacific.

小山南西

Japan supplies by far the most generous host nation support of any of our allies. Japan also provides a stable, secure environment for our military operations and training. Under a January 1991 agreement and other arrangements, the

Government of Japan has assumed an increasing share yearly, and will assume virtually all local labor and utility costs of maintaining our forces by this year. Japan also funds leases for land used by United States forces and incurs indirect costs such as waived land use feet, foregone taxes, tolls, customs, and payments to local communities affected by United States bases. Taken together, these categories represent contributions of a magnitude of more than \$4 billion annually. As part of its host nation support, Japan also funds facilities construction under the Facilities Improvement Program. This contribution is an additional amount of approximately \$1 billion per year.

MLKHOU

Gradual defense improvements have made Japan more secure, and significantly enhanced bilateral security in the post-Cold War environment. As a result of a division of roles and missions, in accordance with Japanese Constitutional constraints, Japan has concentrated on defense of the home istands and sea lane defense out to 1000 nautical miles while the United States has assumed responsibility for power projection and nuclear deterrence. This division enhances the operational flexibility of both sides, and provides a practical guide to structuring and maintaining our torces. Most importantly, however, it contributes to overall regional security. The United States-Japan alliance, while mutually beneficial, has far-reaching benefits extending to the maintenance of peace and stability of the entire international community.

Japanese procurement of major United States weapons systems has also been beneficial to both countries. Japan buys large amounts of military equipment and services from the United States every year. Interoperability of major systems, purchased directly or license built, is a major aspect of the security relationship. The long list of United States equipment in Japan's inventory includes AWACS, Patriot, AEGIS, MLRS, F-15t, P-3, C-130, SH-60 and UH-60 helicopter, and numerous gun, missile, torpodo, and sensor programs.

Shortfalls in the Japanese defensive arsenal continue to exist in sea lane defense -- including airborne carly warning and ship-borne anti-air

capability. Deficiencies also exist in land based and ship borne anti-missile capability. However, Japan is in the process of purchasing AWACS and adding AEGIS capability to its floot. We are also exploring with Japan cooperative efforts in Theater Missile Defense.

The FS-X fighter co-development project, which was controversial in its early stages, has potentially profound benefits. These include transfer to the United States of Japanese defense-related technology, royalties for United States companies, and jobs for United States contractors. There will be future opportunities for aircraft modernization and joint development in a number of areas. We are placing greater emphasis on technology-sharing, which we expect to characterize the future of United States-Japan defense procurement cooperation.

Sustaining Deterrence in Korea

んろう

好的强强,The United States and the Republic of Korea would defeat an invasion of the South by North Korea. A war, however, would cause tremendous destruction on both sides of the DMZ, particularly in and around Scoul, which is the economic, political and cultural center of Korea and only some 26 miles from the Demilltarized Zone. It is therefore important to recognize that the issue in the Republic of Korea (ROK) is not merely winning a war, but more importantly, deterring aggression from North Korea. In this context, our treaty commitment and the presence of United States troops in South Korea help deter any North Korean aggression by making it unmistakably clear that the United States would automatically and immediately be involved in any such conflict.

> If we detect signals of an impending strack, we are poised to react decisively. The United States maintains approximately 37,000 military personnel in the Republic of Kores. Their mission is to contribute to deterrence, participate in the defense of the Republic of Kores thould deterrance fail, and promote the defensive capabilities of allied forces through combined training. Should deterrence so require, these in-place forces can be promptly augmented.

The morals and spirit of Republic of Korea and United States forces in Korea are impressive, and the joint and combined military planning staffs have an effective working relationship. The Republic of Korea's force improvement plans continue at a steady pace. It continues to modernize its forces through the addition of more powerful and mobile tanks, mld-range and self-propelled artillery, counter battery radars. armored personnol carriers, advanced aircraft and lift holicoptors and coastal defense ships. The warfighting capabilities of its ground forces also continue to improve with the formation of more mechanized and armored units. Republic of Korea forces are increasing the number and scope of combat-driven training and exercise acenarios.

Annual Republic of Korea defense spending over the past flyc years has represented between 24 percent and 30 percent of the its annual national budget or between 3.6 and 4.2 percent of its gross national product. The Republic of Korea also spends a considerable amount of money on United States weapons systems and spare parts -over \$3.5 billion in the past five years.

The Republic of Korez also calls on a significant portion of its population for defense-related service, with universal conscription and a strong reserve training program. It fields approximately 650,000 active duty personnel. This force it backed up by over 2, 000,000 ready reserve personnel and a citizenry of millions who have military experience because of universal service. The ready reserve's primary function when mobilized is to reinforce front line Army units and to provide for security in the rear areas. On a day-to-day basis, the vast majority of the overall military forces dedicated to defense of the Republic of Korea are Koreans. Indeed, even if the United States were to deploy forces to a Korean contingency, the great majority of the ground forces defending the Republic of Korca would still be South Korean.

This is in keeping with the United States' global strategy of contributing to regional security in ways that use our comparative advantages. In the case of defending the Republic of Korea, the United States has comparative advantages in naval and air forces and satellite and other intelligence.

現中的 新粉份 付品是 165 Thus, although United States ground forces will be needed for the forseeable future to maintain the strongest possible deterrent, the United States will continue to shift gradually from a leading to a supporting role within the coalition. The transition of the Republic of Kores to the leading role in its own defense is a long-standing policy goal of the United States and reflects the growing maturity and capabilities of the Republic of Kores's armed forces as well as the desires of the Korean people and government. Through training and frequent combined exercises, the combined Republic of Kores-United States force will maintain a high state of readiness.

Progress in the Republic of Korea's assumption of the leading role in its own defense has also been made in the area of command and control of military forces. In 1991, a Republic of Korea Army major general replaced a United States flag officer as Senior Member of the United Nations Command, Military Armistics Commission (UNCMAC). In 1992, we deactivated the Combined (Republic of Korca/United States) Pield Army, and a Republic of Kores Army four-star general was assigned, for the first time, us the Combined Ground Component Commander / The return of peacetime, or *Armistice, operational control of Republic of Korea forces to Republic of Ko: 12 command occurred December 1, 1994. Republic of Korea cost-sharing contributions have smadily increased. The Republic of Korea provides support to United States Forces Korea (USFK) through both direct and indirect means. Direct support is provided through the direct cost-sharing program. The cost-shuring support provided by the Republic of Korea to offset USFK's won-based costs is applied to construction, logistics, and local national labor requirements. Tho Government of the Republic of Korea is committed to providing \$ 300 million for FY 1995. In addition, the Republic of Korca provides rem-free bases and facilities and foregoes taxes and customs on American troops. We expect that the Republic of Korea's cost-sharing contributions will continue to increase as its economy grows.

We intend to maintain a combat and support structure with an emphasis on sustainability and

logistics infrastructure. These are key elements of deterrence because they represent the means to reinforce our forces rapidly. Our standing combat posture in Korsa will continue to include the 2nd Infantry Division which includes two heavy manauver brigades and one combat aviation brigade. In addition, the United States deploys the 17th aviation brigade and the U.S. 7th Air Force, with a strength of one Tactical Pighter Wing. Pulfilling a proposal of the Bottom Up Review, former Secretary Aspin and former Minister for National Defense Kwon agreed at the 25th SCM (1993) to preposition a heavy brigade set of equipment on the peninsula. In addition to forces stationed in Korea, forces of the United States Seventh Fleet and the Marine Expeditionary Force further contribute to dotorrence on the Peninsula.

We anvision a robust United States security relationship with the Republic of Korea to protect mutual security interests in the region, even if the threat from North Kores were to diminish. We are determined to maintain effective deterrence while supporting constructive contacts between North and South Korea, convinced that the Korean conflict can only be resolved through inter-Korean dialogue.

Recognizing The Value Of Access in Southeast Asia

In addition to bases in South Korea and Japan, the United States maintains other forward deployed forces-maritime forces continuously aflost in the Western Pacific; rotational deployments, such as the United States Air Force deployments to Singaporo; and temporary deployments, for exercises, humanitarian operations, or other projects. These deployments are dependent in turn on a wide variety of access arrangements. We have formal access agreements, informal agreements for aircraft transits and ship visits, commercial arrangements for thip and/or aircraft repairs and maintanance, and occasional access arrangements with many countries for training and exercise purposes. These access opportunities have expanded in recent years, in part due to regional fears that the closure of United States bases in the Philippines would lead to our departure from the region, and

In part as a result of gradually expanding bilateral defense relationships.

Military engagement in the region is also a function of other forms of defense cooperation: personnel exchanges, intelligence sharing, senior-level visits, conferences, bilizeral policy dialogues, International Military Education and Training, pre-positioning, joint exercises, and military-to-military contact. These mechanisms allow us to demonstrate and support our interest in the region.

Our strategy emphasizes the importance of active bilateral and multilateral exercise programs between the United States services and the armed forces of friendly and allied nations. These exercises provide tangible evidence of our communent to the region while increasing the operational readiness and capabilities of our Pacific forces. Major joint, combined, and smaller military-to-military exercises take place annually with our alites in Japan, Korea. Australia, the Philippines and Thailand, as do a large number of smaller military-to-military exorcises. For example, small training exchanges and exercises by United States Army units stationed in Hawaii, Alaska and Japan with many of the region's ground forces have benefited all participants and demonstrated yet another value of forward presence.

In this context, it is worth mentioning that the International Military Education and Training (IMET) program is an essential element of our regional strategy. By bringing foreign military and defense civilians together with their American counterparts, IMET fosters respect for the principle of civilian control of the military. It influences the development of foreign civilian and military institutions, particularly in management and acquisition matters. It advances effective systems of defense resource management among friends and allies, and facilitates the purchase of interoperable equipment necessary for coalition efforts. It creates and maintains offective military Judicial systems and military codes of conduct that give respect to the observance of internationally recognized human rights. LOOKING TO THE FUTURE

The Asia-Pacific region is now more at peace than it has been at any time in this century. Rapid economic growth has made the region increasingly important to the United States and the world economy. The complexities of the emerging division of power in the region have generated ranswed interest in regional security. In the political realm, leadership and regime transitions are occurring across the region as a result of generational changes, democratization, and post-Cold War realignments in domestic political coalitions. The dissolution of the Soviet Union has led to renewed attention to traditional and potential rivalries among the major powers of the Asla-Pacific region Many states of the region are now expuble of increasing their milliary might.

In this context, the United States is uniquely positioned to be a constructive and enduring force for stability in the region. As the only Asia-Pacific power with truly global capabilities, the United States is able to bring together multilateral coalitions, as it did during the Gulf War. Moreover, as a powerful state with no territorial ambitions, the United States can maintain a presence in the region that is reassuring rather than threatening. Our interest is In the peaceful resolution of territorial and other disputes. Our ability to protect the vital sea lines in the Pacific and Indian Oceans enhances regional prosperity. United States security commitments to Japan make a major contribution to an enhanced sense of security in that country and throughout the region; United States and Korean forces deter oggression on the Penlisula; and the United States' policy of principled engagement toward China offers hope for creating constructive long-term relations that contribute positively to the international community.

Economic development, the technological and telecommunications revolutions, the spread of democracy and a growing awareness of the need for regional action on trans-national issues have begun to provide a sense that the states in the region share common interests. Economics has been the driving force behind this emerging sense of community. Economic growth has engendered economic integration and inter-dependence, and